

Demonstration and Automation of Reflected Target Optical Measurement for Heliostats

STRATTLETO I WATER

HelioCon PI: Devon Kesseli NREL

NREL/PR-5700-90304

July 15-17, 2024 • ASME 2024 Energy Sustainability Conference

conceptual design • components • integration • mass production • heliostat field

State-of-the-Art Optical Measurement

Interferometry: Ultra high precision, used for telescope mirrors, difficult on larger surfaces.

Fringe deflectometry: measurement by reflected fringe pattern. Powerful, high resolution, more complicated setup.

- SOFAST (Sandia)
- Qdec (DLR)
- Fraunhofer ISE

Structured light deflection

- VIS (ENEA).
- ReTNA (NREL)

Photogrammetry: 3D positional measurement: well established, but requires targets attached to mirror surface.

N. S. Finch and C. E. Andraka <https://doi.org/10.1115/ES2011-54455>

Fig. 6. Photogrammetry measurements carried out at ENEA's PTC facility by CENER's technicians

Reflected Target Non-intrusive Assessment (ReTNA)

A complementary technology for the tools available today.

- 1. Target located in 3D with photogrammetry.
- 2. Series of images collected of target reflected in mirror surface
- 3. Deflection yields **surface slope** and **facet canting** measurements

Key Differences

- 2D Printed target pattern
	- Allows for measurement in ambient lighting.
	- Allows for 2D measurement with a single image.
	- Lighter, modular, versatile targets compared to projector screens.
- Target points found in 3D space with photogrammetry
	- No flatness constraints for target, or precision required during setup.
	- Multiple images can be stitched together, to measure larger heliostats.
- Coded Targets yield fast and automatic measurement.

Above: ReTNA target evolution over time. Below: ReTNA target shape, determined with photogrammetry, z-axis exaggerated 10x to show non-flatness, which is acceptable for ReTNA measurement.

2024 Updated: Improvements and Validation

Prior to FY24, we had tested:

- A portable version of the system at ENEA in Italy
- A laboratory system, testing on a single facet sample and 2 facet canting demo.

So far in FY 24:

- 2 Demonstration campaigns at a commercial heliostat developer:
	- Campaign 1: proof of concept with portable system, validation vs their existing tools. (October 2023)
	- Campaign 2: Custom assembly line QC layout. Over full 300 heliostat measurements. (March 2024)
- Test campaign with portable system at Sandia (December 2023).
	- Measuring trough facets for international round robin.
	- Comparison with SOFAST and important limitations of ReTNA identified.
- Test campaign a NREL's Solar Furnace heliostat (April 2024).
- Test campaign at a second commercial heliostat developer (June 2024).

Significant learnings and improvements with each test campaign

conceptual design • components • integration • mass production • heliostat field

Layout Changes: Assembly Line

- Layout changed for integration into a commercial assembly line.
	- No moving parts (except heliostat).
	- Ambient lighting
	- Analysis from a single image
	- Currently >700 measurements per m². Can likely reach >2000 with single image.
	- Heliostat does not need to be removed from assembly line.

Layout Changes: Development

- Heliostat developer version for measurement during assembly.
	- Camera(s) and target mounted on rolling gantry crane.
	- Allows for scan of heliostat without removing it from the assembly rig.
	- Can be adapted back to assembly line version for mass production. Printed target on

Measurement Validation

Extensive measurement system validation campaign undertaken in March 2024 at commercial heliostat assembly line:

- 300+ full heliostat measurements.
- 10+ full system calibrations
- 2 Target heights
- 3 different camera positions/full system takedown and reassembly.
- 3 different camera lenses.
- Different lighting conditions.

These led to some crucial improvements, most notably:

- Camera calibration procedure improvement. At larger imaging distances, changing camera focusing distance led to slight changes in calibration parameters.
- Understanding of lighting.

Measurement Validation Results

- Standard deviation of repeated measurement on each mirror with all variables (camera/target height change, camera position change, lighting change, ISO change, system recalibration) is 0.127 mrads.
- \cdot +/- 0.255 with 95% certainty.
- From our tests, we can see what changes the results most significantly. Surprisingly, this was camera lens, leading us to the conclusion on the previous slide. Improving our calibration procedure will likely reduce this uncertainty.
- Likely larger uncertainty when using a smaller target, stitched together images.

Lighting and Exposure

Exposure Testing: how dark can the images look?

Lighting changes during testing will not affect measurement results

conceptual design • components • integration • mass production • heliostat field

Shutter speed and ISO: Noise and blur.

- Does a high ISO cause noise in results? o Yes, but only at extreme ISO values (>2000)
- Does a low shutter speed cause blur in results?
	- o Depends on movement of system, but we results show this will not be a concern on expected setups.

Software Automation Improvements

- 1. Computer vision capture of heliostat on assembly line via background subtraction.
	- This method can effectively automate the QC layout, where the heliostat moves under a stationary camera and target.
- 2. Define an external reference frame with computer identifiable targets.

HelioCon Milestones

Questions?

www.nrel.gov csp.sandia.gov

Subscribe to HelioCon:

- Heliostat.Consortium@nrel.gov

conceptual design • components • integration • mass production • heliostat field